UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,516	01/07/2005	Ken Ooyachi	7390/84218	5956
42798 7590 04/18/2007 FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 184	115		7390/84218 5956 EXAMINER BUSHEY, CHARLES S	HARLES S
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/18/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			h/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,516	OOYACHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott Bushey	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the maximum state of the maximum state	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute. cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	February 2007.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 and 19 is/are	withdrawn from consideration	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 20-34</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b)⊠ Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	. , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-5-07</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/520,516 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 18-34, and Species B, as depicted by Figure 2, and drawn to a circulating dissolver in the reply filed on February 23, 2007 is acknowledged. As such, claims 18, and 20-34 have been examined on the merits herein, while claims 1-17, and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention and/or species.
- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, in the heading "BACKGROUND ART", the term "BACKGROUND" has been misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 29, 30, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

recited the claim does not make sense.

In claims 29 and 30, the recitations that the gas and water are supplied to the first dissolver "at a specified flow rate" does not further limit the process of claim 18, which requires supplying gas and water to the first dissolver, since any supply of gas or water would occur at a specified flow rate.

Claims 33 and 34 fail to further limit claim 18 from which they each depend, since neither dependent claim requires a manipulative step of a process, but instead simply recites a result of the process steps recited by the independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1724

8. Claims 18, 20-31, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 3048499 taken in view of either Carlson or Meinert.

JP 3048499 (English Abstract; Figs. 1 and 4) discloses a process for forming a carbonated water within a bath, including using a pressure increasing water pump to circulate water through a circuit including the hot water bath and a 3-layer hollow fiber membrane structure, wherein hot water is contacted by a pressurized carbon dioxide gas stream. The reference does not include a secondary dissolver in the form of a static mixer means downstream of the membrane contactor.

Carlson (Fig. 1) or Meinert (Fig. 2) each alternatively disclose a two-stage gas liquid contacting process, wherein the secondary contact/gas dissolver is in the form of a static mixing means to provide turbulizing means to provide for a highly efficient further contact means that is of simple construction without moving parts that would tend to require expensive maintenance over time. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to provide the water carbonating process of JP 3048499 with a simple means for insuring greater contact between the phases, such as a simple static mixing means, in view of either Carlson or Meinert, since such would greatly improve the contact efficiency of the Japanese process by very simple and inexpensive means. With respect to the specifics of the structure of the static mixing means, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to utilize any conventionally known static mixer structure, such as recited by instant claims 26-28, to optimize the efficiency of the process. Applicant should note that it would have further been obvious to operate the process as suggested by the reference combination

Art Unit: 1724

to supply water and gas to the contactors at any desired flow rates, to produce a product at any desired temperature and free carbon concentration, such being an obvious result of operation of the process to obtain a desired product stream.

9. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference combination as applied to claims 18, 20-31, 33, and 34 above, and further in view of JP 2001-293343.

The reference combination as applied to claims 18, 20-31, 33, and 34 above substantially discloses applicant's invention as recited by instant claim 32, except for the inclusion of the water line flow switch.

JP 2001-293343 (Fig. 1) discloses a process for carbonating a hot water bath in a manner very similar to that as set forth by the primary reference combination, wherein there is provided a flow switch within the water line to the membrane contactor device. Wherein it is well known within the art that a water pump should always be protected against a dry water feed line, to avoid damage or burnout of the pump, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to provide the process as suggested by the primary reference combination, with a flow switch to shut down the water pump in the event of a dry water inlet line, in view of JP 2001-293343, since such would protect against damage to pump.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,516

Art Unit: 1724

),516 Page 6

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

csb 4-9-07

4-9-07

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724